CORE CURRICULUM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - -CCOC
AGENDA November 4, 2022

1. Social Time
2. September and October Minutes to be approved with November Minutes at December meeting or by email prior to next meeting
3. Questions about technical process of CURRENT Review—Williamson Lupica-Ewsuk
4. Status of Year 5 review and new courses-- Williamson Lupica-Ewsuk
5. Changes in technical review process for next year are coming---~~Jim Gleason~~ Kevin Shaughnessy
6. Until (and even after) the General Education Referendum is complete and has a result, CCOC is conducting business as usual (SACSCOC Conformance and Reaffirmation)-Williamson
7. New Topics/ Questions and concerns?—ALL
8. Next Meeting (in person) December 2nd {cancelled}

MINUTES (by Bishop/ w Williamson edits)

Attendance (In Person Meeting no Streaming Attendance)

Present: Emily Decker, Xabier Granja, Sundar Krishnan, Jweff Melton, Morgan Milstead, Alessandra Montalbano, Kevin Shaughnessy, Cassender Smith, Derek Williamson, Katie Lupica-Ewsuk, and Ginger Bishop

Not Present: Allison Currington, Jim Gleason, Sara Hartley, Tanja Jones, Jennifer Nunnelley, Jef Naidoo, Staci Simmon, Oleg Sinelnikov, Weihua Su, Nicole, Swaszowski, Janeck Wasserman, Daniel Strickland, Mary Ellen Hanna, Victoria, Whitfield Lewis, Carrye Ann Rainer, Cassie Baldwin, Cameron Doyle, David Strickland

Agenda Items and Discussion
   (1) Food and casual discussion and introductions
   (2) Minutes from September/October still under preparation with Attendance lists

(3) Any questions about processing? Content? & (4) Status of Review
- Hit 14 of 27 approvals, leaves queue.
- Comments associated with review stay forever; everyone can see before casting vote; subcommittee chair typically handles missing items and negative comments, which should be recorded so voters know
- Access to comments goes away after voting threshold goes away
- Asked to vote more than comment
  - About 130 to 160 courses to review each year; by this time usually have about 70% in queue; this year 2/3+ are not in queue (of 165, 113 have not moved forward) 18 complete
- Modern Languages (1 department), Education (3-4 departments), New College (1 director) account for 100 of the 113

(5) Changes in Technical review process (Kevin S.)
- 3 systems with workflow: k2 system in administrative functions; Everest Process; On-Base
- Syllabus System? OIRA couldn't support current system; new system has compartmentalized ownership. OIT and CIT and OUR. Who owns process in terms of approvals, template management, authorizations, reporting, research, etc. Need agreements across entities. Not clear that workflow is going to be used. Updating CIM forms. Core designation in Banner. Simple goes live in Summer 2023. Testing now. Link in CIM now for OSM. CIM and Simple Syllabus will not talk to each other.
- New CIM will put SLOs in system. OUR…use CIM to look at SLOs for core.
- CCOC needs SLOs and assignments. May be more scrutiny for new core courses. May be some workaround, including PDF.
- Regardless of referendum outcome, need technology in place. Two processes in place at same time for couple of years.

(6) Business as usual because of catalogs until 2025-26. Will be doing same job next year and will have a workflow system.
- Sharing the commentary may be toughest because of the current process – not linear – and others are.
- Timeline for new core – Fall 2025 begins if passes; current students on previous catalogs will stay unless opt to go to new one. Old courses/designations needed. Going away is C designation.
- Questions about cross listing – please send link from Registrar's webage: https://registrar.ua.edu/faculty-staff/academic-scheduling-roomseek/cross-listing-guidelines/